Greenhouse gas dynamics of a well-drained afforested agricultural peatland

Maria Ernfors^{1)*}, Robert G. Björk²⁾³⁾, Azad Nousratpour²⁾, David Rayner²⁾, Per Weslien²⁾ and Leif Klemedtsson²⁾

¹⁾ Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Biosystems and Technology,

 P.O. Box 103, SE-230 53 Alnarp, Sweden (*corresponding author's e-mail: maria.ernfors@slu.se)
²⁾ University of Gothenburg, Department of Earth Sciences, P.O. Box 460, SE-405 30, Gothenburg, Sweden

³⁾ Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, P.O. Box 461, SE-405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

Received 9 Dec. 2019, final version received 3 June. 2020, accepted 3 June. 2020

Ernfors M., Björk R.G., Nousratpour A., Rayner D., Weslien P. & Klemedtsson L. 2020: Greenhouse gas dynamics of a well-drained afforested agricultural peatland. *Boreal Env. Res.* 25: 65–89.

About a quarter of the global anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions are attributable to agriculture, forestry and other land use. Few studies of afforested drained peatlands have measured exchanges of all three major greenhouse gases (GHG) at a given site, leading to uncertainty in estimated GHG budgets. Thus, we measured forest floor exchange of carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) at a well-drained afforested peatland in southern Sweden. The CO_2 emissions (76 000 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹; SE 6 000) were large compared with previous measurements at similar sites, which may have been partly due to a measurement technique that did not underestimate the flux. A net CH_4 uptake of 4.4 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ (SE 0.41) and a net N_2O emission of 2.7 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ (SE 0.23) were found, which agreed well with published models relating fluxes to stand biomass (CH_4 models) or soil C:N ratio (N_2O models).

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO_2) , methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) make up 98% of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and about a quarter of these originate from land use (IPCC 2013). Particularly, agriculture and forestry on drained peatlands have a large impact on the anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions (e.g., Kasimir Klemedtsson *et al.* 1997, Alm *et al.* 2007a, Minkkinen *et al.* 2008). In the boreal and temperate zones, approximately 15 Mha of drained peatlands are used for forestry (Paavilainen and Päivänen 1995) and approximately 14 Mha are used for agricultural purposes (Armentano and Menges 1986). Peatlands in their natural state are long-term sinks for CO₂ (Gorham 1991, Clymo *et al.* 1998) and sources of CH₄ (Bartlett and Harris 1993, Nilsson *et al.* 2001), while N₂O fluxes are close to zero (Martikainen *et al.* 1993, Regina *et al.* 1996). When peatlands are drained, the oxygen content of the peat increases, leading to increased CO₂ emissions (Silvola *et al.* 1996a, Trettin *et al.* 2006) and reduced or sometimes negative CH₄ emissions (Nykänen *et al.* 1998, Minkkinen and Laine 2006). Generally, if a peatland is drained for agricultural purposes, the net effect is a loss of carbon (C; Kasimir Klemedtsson *et al.* 1997, Maljanen *et al.* 2007). However, if the drained peatland is used for forestry, it can be either a C sink or source, depending on whether or not the C emitted from the peat is balanced by an increase in tree stand biomass and/or an increased input of litter to the soil (Minkkinen et al. 2002, von Arnold 2004, Laiho 2006, Lohila et al. 2007, Meyer et al. 2013, Ojanen et al. 2014). In addition to affecting C fluxes, drainage can lead to N₂O emissions if a peatland is sufficiently rich in nitrogen (N), i.e., if it has a low C:N ratio (Martikainen et al. 1993). There have been few studies taking all GHG emissions at a site into account, which increases the uncertainty of regional GHG budgets for drained peatlands (Maljanen *et al.*, 2010). Thus, more CO_2 , CH_4 and N₂O flux data measured concurrently at a given site, paired with a better understanding of the main drivers of emissions, is clearly needed.

Peatlands that are used for agricultural purposes are generally chosen due to their high soil N content and, consequently, this land-use option can lead to significant release of N₂O to the atmosphere (Kasimir Klemedtsson et al. 1997, Maljanen et al. 2007). Many afforested peatlands have first been used for agricultural purposes before being planted with trees (Wall and Heiskanen 2003). Since these afforested former agricultural peatlands are likely to emit large amounts of GHG emissions, they are potential targets for mitigation measures and are key areas to consider when scaling up greenhouse gas fluxes from forest land to regional or national levels (Ernfors et al. 2007). Studies in Finland have shown that N₂O emissions from drained afforested former agricultural peatlands are close to those from organic peatlands in active cultivation and greater than those from organic soils drained for forestry (Martikainen *et al.* 1993, Alm et al. 2007a, Maljanen et al. 2007, Mäkiranta et al. 2007, Maljanen et al. 2012). However, for Sweden there are few published studies on greenhouse gas exchange at drained afforested peatlands that have previously been used for agriculture. Klemedtsson et al. (2010) and Rütting et al. (2014) measured greenhouse gas emissions in a wood ash fertilization experiment at the drained and afforested agricultural peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment in southern Sweden over two and four years, respectively. Their results from untreated control

plots indicated that N₂O and CO₂ emissions were moderate compared with those from drained peatlands under agricultural use (Kasimir-Klemedtsson et al. 1997, Maljanen et al. 2012) and that there was a relatively large uptake of CH_{4} compared with cultivated (Maljanen *et al.* 2007) and afforested agricultural (Maljanen et al. 2003, Mäkiranta et al. 2007) organic soils in Finland, as well as compared with cultivated or forestry drained peatlands in Sweden (Kasimir-Klemedtsson et al. 1997, von Arnold et al. 2005a-c, Weslien et al. 2009). Meyer et al. (2013) measured heterotrophic respiration in trenched plots at the Skogaryd drained peat site in 2008 and obtained an annual flux value higher than those for total forest floor respiration measured at the same site by Klemedtsson et al. (2010) and Rütting et al. (2014). This emphasizes the need for further quantification and explanation of GHG fluxes from afforested peat soils.

Several authors have constructed models to try to predict CH₄ and N₂O fluxes at drained forested peatlands, based on easily measured tree stand or soil parameters (Klemedtsson et al. 2005, Ernfors et al. 2007, Minkkinen et al. 2007 and Ojanen et al. 2010). Minkkinen et al. (2007) proposed a model ($R^2 = 0.58$), based on measurements in 26 plots, linking annual CH₄ emissions from drained peatlands to stand volume. They argued that since tree volume reflects both drainage age and the nutrient status of the peat, it forms a causal link to CH₄ fluxes. Drainage age and nutrient status of the peat are factors influencing the main determinants of CH₄ fluxes: groundwater table and the composition of the ground vegetation (Whalen et al. 2005). However, Ojanen et al. (2010) found a weaker relationship ($R^2 = 0.22$) between stand volume and CH₄ fluxes. To predict annual N₂O emissions, Klemedtsson et al. (2005) constructed a model ($R^2 = 0.96$), based on measurements on 12 sites, where they linked annual N₂O emissions from drained peatlands to the C:N ratio of the top soil. Ernfors et al. (2007) modified this model in order to calculate a confidence band around it ($R^2 = 0.87$ for the new model). Ojanen et al. (2010) constructed three models based on measurements of N₂O emissions and top soil C:N ratios of drained peatlands. First, one model using their own data ($R^2 = 0.50$); second, one using their own data together with data from other sites drained for forestry ($R^2 = 0.35$); and third, one also including the data used in Klemedtsson *et al.* (2005) and Ernfors *et al.* (2007; $R^2 = 0.24$). These existing models need to be evaluated against new empirical data in order to improve the upscaling of fluxes to larger regions.

The objective of our study was to quantify the net forest floor exchange of CO_2 , CH_4 and N₂O at a site representative of Swedish afforested drained agricultural peatlands and to relate these GHG fluxes to abiotic variables, e.g., by investigating how well they could be predicted using the models by Minkkinen et al. (2007), Ojanen et al. (2010), Klemedtsson et al. (2005) and Ernfors et al. (2007). We hypothesised that: (i) the forest floor CO₂ emissions at our site would be larger than those previously measured by von Arnold et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2005c) at poorly drained forested Swedish peatlands, since a lower groundwater table promotes increased CO, fluxes (Silvola et al. 1996a, Mäkiranta et al. 2009); (ii) our site would be a net sink for CH_4 , due to its low groundwater table and large tree stand, in agreement with the models presented by Minkkinen et al. (2007) and Ojanen et al. (2010); and (iii) the annual N₂O flux at our site could be predicted from the C:N ratio of the soil using the relationship described by Ernfors et al. (2007).

Material and methods

Site

The study was carried out at the Skogaryd research catchment in southwest Sweden (58°23'N, 12°09'E; 60 metres above sea level). The long-term (1961–1990) mean annual temperature and precipitation were 6.4°C and 709 mm, respectively, at the Vänersborg weather station, 12 km from the site (Alexandersson and Eggertsson Karlström 2001). The Skogaryd drained peat site is located at a well-drained former fen, formed within a valley. The peat is between one and several meters deep and mineral particles are incorporated into the peat in



Fig 1. Map of the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment. The small square in the middle represents a micrometeorological tower and the numbered squares represent the plots where the measurements of gas fluxes and most abiotic parameters were conducted.

varying amounts. Below the peat layer, there is a clay sediment of marine origin. The mineral component of the peat is likely to originate either from flood events or from additions of mineral soil for fertilization purposes. The site was drained in the 1870s and used for agriculture until it was planted with Norway spruce (Picea abies) in the 1950s. The height of the tree stand and the stand volume were approximately 22 m and 400 m³ ha⁻¹, respectively, at the start of the study (2006). The ground vegetation was sparse or absent in the denser parts of the stand and dominated by bryophytes in the more open parts. The bottom layer consisted mainly of Plagiomnium affine, Dicranum Majus, Polytrichatrum formosum, Pleurozium schreberi and Hylocomium splendens, while Vaccinium myrtillus, Luzula pilosa, Oxalis acetosella and Juncus conglomeratus were common in the field layer (Björk et al. 2010). We measured gas fluxes between the soil and the atmosphere, along with abiotic soil properties, at six plots. The plots were arranged in a circle (Ø 50 m) in the estimated main footprint area of a micrometeorological tower (Fig. 1), to allow for subsequent comparisons between chamber and micrometeorological data. Two of the plots (plots 2 and 5) had properties that set them apart from the others and they may not have been representative of the site as a whole. These plots were not relocated since the area around the tower had to be cov-



Fig. 2. Daily average gas fluxes, precipitation and manually measured groundwater levels and manually measured soil temperatures at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment, over the period from 15 Jul. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007.

ered in all directions. In plot 2 (Fig. 1), there was a natural deviation in soil texture. Soil profiles in the plots showed that there was a sediment layer at a depth of 25–30 cm in parts of plot 2, which was not present in the other plots. Plot 5 (Fig. 1) was located on a track that had been used by forest machinery during a forest thinning operation. The forest machines had created a depression in the soil, leading to wet conditions in the whole plot (Fig. 2). There were also no trees on the track, which led to more favorable light conditions and more field and bottom layer vegetation than in the other plots. The vegetation in plot 5 included species characteristic of wet soil, such as *Sphagnum spp*.

Gas flux measurements

We installed six stainless steel collars at each plot and left them in place during the whole experiment. At each plot, we distributed the collars in a random pattern, within a 10 m \times 10 m square. Every collar had a channel at the top, into which a chamber could be placed. Water or a rubber gasket in the channel ensured an air-tight seal between chamber and collar. The collars measured 44 cm \times 44 cm on the inside and had a horizontal flange extending 7 cm outwards at the lower end, both to allow the collar to be more securely fixed onto the soil surface, thus minimizing the risk of leaks and to decrease leakage through the soil pore space by increasing the path of diffusion between the chamber and the ambient air. We assumed that the diffusion flux from the soil divided at the middle of the flange and, accordingly, the effective uptake area of each chamber was 0.26 m². We aimed at installing the collars with the flange just beneath the litter layer to avoid cutting any fine roots or mycorrhizae. However, due to the uneven soil surface, it was often necessary to install parts of the collars a few centimetres deeper, to a maximum of 5 cm into the peat. More than half the circumference of each collar always remained on top of the peat layer. We measured gas fluxes between 14 Jul. 2006 and 6 Nov. 2007. Only two of the collars in plots 1, 2 and 6 were used for the entire period, as four of the collars were used for another study from 6 Aug. 2007 onwards.



Fig 3. One of six automatic chambers used to measure CO₂ fluxes.

Automatic chamber measurements of CO₂

For the measurements of CO₂ fluxes, we used six automatic closed chambers. The chambers were 1.25 m high and made out of transparent polycarbonate sheets on aluminium frames (Fig. 3). The mean combined volume of a chamber and its collar, calculated from the soil surface, was 0.24 m³. Each chamber had a lid at the top, attached by hinges on one side and opened with an electric actuator (Linear Actuator LA12; LINAK A/S, Nordborg, Denmark). The lid was in a vertical position when opened. A spiral shaped vent, made from a PVC pipe (inner Ø 4 mm), was installed through the lid of each chamber. An air intake was positioned in the middle of the chamber, approximately 40 cm from the soil surface. A ring of tubing around the bottom of the chamber, approximately 10 cm

from the soil surface, with multiple small holes, served as an air outlet manifold. A Ø 80 mm fan (Jamicon, New Taipei City, Taiwan; 32.1 L s⁻¹) was positioned horizontally, at approximately 50 cm from the soil surface, and served to ventilate the chamber between measurements. Two Ø 50 mm fans (Jamicon, New Taipei City, Taiwan; 7.6 L s⁻¹) were positioned at an angle at the sides of the chamber, approximately 20 cm from the soil surface, and served to mix the air within the chamber during a measurement. The chambers were connected to a logger system (CR10X logger, AM16/32A multiplexer, SDM-CD16 relay control port module; Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA) which prompted a measurement cycle every 20 minutes, recording values from two chambers at a time, resulting in one gas measurement per chamber per hour. During a measurement, the lid closed and air was pumped from the chamber, through an air filter, to an infrared gas analyser (PP Systems SBA-4 OEM CO₂ Analyzer) and back to the chamber. The gas analyser measured the CO₂ concentration in the chamber air every 30 seconds, for 15 minutes, before the lid was opened again. The logger stored the CO₂ concentration values and time points for the measurements. We moved the chambers approximately every fortnight, circulating between plots 1, 2 and 6 (Fig. 1). Since the chambers were transparent, we removed all vegetation from inside the collars in these plots, to eliminate CO₂ uptake by photosynthesis.

Manual chamber measurements of CH_4 and N_2O

For measurements of CH_4 and N_2O , we used manual dark static chambers made of stainless steel, from which gas samples could be collected through a butyl rubber membrane. We used the same collars for the manual chambers as for the automatic chambers and we joined the chamber and collar in the same way, using water or rubber gaskets to provide a gas tight seal. We collected gas samples in 22 ml glass vials, sealed with butyl rubber membranes, by circulating air for 30 s between the chamber headspace and a vial, through two \emptyset 0.7 mm needles. We collected samples at 4, 8, 16 and 32 min after placing the chamber on the collar and analysed them using a Varian 3800 gas chromatograph, as described by Klemedtsson *et al.* (1997). The mean volume of the combination of chamber and collar, calculated from the soil surface, was 0.028 m^3 . We measured gas fluxes using the manual chambers on 25 occasions during the period from 14 Jul. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007 (approximately fortnightly). After that, we performed seven additional measurements during the period from 1 Aug. to 6 Nov. 2007, to track the peak in the N₂O flux in plot 2 (Fig. 2).

Abiotic measurements

In connection to the measurements of CH_4 and N_2O , we manually measured soil temperature and groundwater level. We used a steel thermoelement sensor (TPK-03, Tecpel, Taipei, Taiwan) connected to a handheld thermometer (CIE 307, Tecpel, Taipei, Taiwan) to measure soil temperature at 10 and 20 cm depth, approximately 30 cm from the collars. During the period from 14 Jul. 2006 to 29 Nov. 2006, we measured soil temperature in three of the plots and afterwards in all plots. To determine the depth of the groundwater table, we used a plumb line, in perforated tubes inserted to a depth of 1.5 m in the soil, approximately 50 cm from each collar.

To determine pH and total C and N contents, we collected soil samples at depths of 0–5 cm and 5–20 cm, approximately 50 cm from each collar in Feb. 2008. We measured pH in a 1:10 (by fresh weight) deionized water suspension and total C and N using an elemental analyser (Model: EA 1108 CHNS-O; Fisons Instruments S.p.A., Rodano, Italy).

From 14 Aug. 2006, a logger system (CR10X logger, AM16/32A multiplexer; Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA) recorded soil moisture, soil temperature and air temperature at the site, every 30 min. The logger system obtained the soil moisture measurements from CS616 Water Content Reflectometers (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA), which were inserted horizontally into the soil at depths of 5, 15, 30 and 60 cm, in two soil profiles (a and b) in each of the plots 1, 2 and 6. We carried out a site-specific calibration, to relate the CS616 Water Content Reflectometer signal to water content, since standard calibration coefficients do not apply to peat soils (Campbell Scientific Inc., 2006). The calibration function that we used (see Eq. 1), where y = soil water content (g water × g dry weight⁻¹) and x = CS616 output value, was based on 16 pairs of gravimetric water content and CS616 output values, from field and laboratory measurements, with $R^2 = 0.66$.

$$y = 11.139x^2 - 6.256x + 2.794 \tag{1}$$

The logger recorded soil temperature measurements from Campbell 107 Temperature Probes (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA) and copper-constantan (Type T) thermocouples, installed in the same soil profiles and at the same depths as the soil moisture probes. Air temperature was measured 2 m above ground, using a Campbell 107 Temperature Probe (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA) equipped with a naturally-aspirated radiation shield (UT12VA 12-Plate Radiation Shield; Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan UT, USA). Wind speed was measured at 27 m height in the micrometeorological tower (Fig. 1) with a Gill 3 sonic anemometer (Solent, UK).

Calculations and statistics

We calculated the flux of each gas from the linear part of the regression slope of the chamber gas concentration values, plotted against time. For the manual gas measurements, we regarded all slope values with $R^2 > 0.90$ as correct and accepted slopes with $R^2 < 0.90$ if the change in gas concentration within the chamber, during the measurement, was less than 20%. When fluxes are small, the R^2 -values are inherently low and should not be used to disqualify a measurement (Alm et al. 2007b). Minor changes in the gas concentration in the chamber, due to disturbances in the field, have a relatively larger impact on the linearity of the regression slope at low fluxes and excluding the small fluxes due to low R^2 -values would lead to an overestimate of the total annual fluxes.

For CH₄ and N₂O, we calculated annual flux values for each collar using temporal integra-

tion of the emission values, for the period from 1 Aug. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007. For N_2O , we also calculated annual fluxes for the period from 7 Nov. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007, then including the additional measurements that were made to study the N_2O flux peak at plot 2 (Fig. 2). For this calculation, only the two collars in each of plots 1, 2 and 6 that were present for the entire period were used. When calculating the site average, fluxes were first averaged for each plot, to ensure that all plots influenced the average equally.

Two statistical methods were used to estimate annual CO₂ fluxes from the measured values. In the first method, we gapfilled and then integrated the data over the period from 1 Aug. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007, to obtain annual flux values for each collar. Multiple regression of the In-transformed CO₂ flux against automatically measured soil temperature, soil moisture and groundwater table data showed that soil temperature at 5 cm depth (Ts₅) and soil water content at 30 cm depth (w_{20}) were the variables that explained most of the variation in the CO₂ flux, for the whole data set (R_{adj}^2 Ts₅ = 0.51; \tilde{R}_{adj}^2 Ts₅ + w₃₀ = 0.55; residuals were normal and homoscedastic, F and p values were not valid due to autocorrelation). We therefore gapfilled the data using these two variables (Eq. 2), with a, b and c constants determined separately for each collar. When Ts_s and/or w₃₀ data were missing, we gapfilled using linear interpolation or extrapolation.

$$\ln CO_2 = a + b(Ts_5) + c(w_{30})$$
(2)

In the second method, annual fluxes were estimated based solely on the observed relationship between daily-average CO_2 flux and Ts_5 . The relationship between daily CO_2 flux and Ts_5 is clearly not stationary — for many collars, there are two approximate relationships between CO_2 flux and Ts_5 (Fig. 4). In most of these cases, the relationship is different for 2006 and 2007, although for several collars the bifurcation in the relationship does not follow the 2006/2007 division. The bifurcation could not be accounted for by introducing soil water content as an independent variable. In short, for many collars, it was not possible to predict which relationship should be preferred.

observations where Ts, values less than 0°C were treated as 0°C in the regressions, used in both models. Observation period covers 1 Aug. 2006 to 06 Nov. 2007.



Thus, in the second method, we chose to account for this uncertainty by creating two linear regressions for those collars where the data indicated two possible relationships (Fig. 4), a "high" model (mainly data from 2007) and a "low" model (mainly data from 2006). For collars without two clear relationships, we created "high" and "low" models with the same data so as to evenly weight the collars when calculating annual averages. We assumed CO₂ flux to be independent of temperature below freezing, thus Ts₅ values less than 0°C were treated as 0°C in the regressions. The mean-absolute-errors of the "low" linear fits relative to the observations are typically 92–163 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹, implying typical errors of 12-18% (range given as the 25th and 75th percentiles). The "high" fits were somewhat poorer, with mean-absolute-errors ranging from 106–250 mg CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹, implying typical errors of 13–22%.

Both regression fits were then used with the entire Ts_5 record to create a modelled "high" and "low" CO_2 flux time-series for each collar covering the period from 1 Aug. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007. Gaps in the modelled CO_2 flux time-series (i.e., for days without a valid Ts_5 , representing 2.6% of all days) were filled using robust LOWESS smoothing. The time-series were then averaged to day-of-year and then to annual averages.

The final estimate of the annual CO_2 flux for each plot for our second method was then calculated as the mean of both the "high" and "low" averages for all collars in the plot. The final estimate of the annual CO_2 flux for the site was calculated as the weighted average across all collars, with weights inversely-proportional to the number of collars in each plot.

Annual CH_4 and N_2O values for the site were also calculated using the models presented by Minkkinen *et al.* (2007), Ojanen *et al.* (2010), Klemedtsson *et al.* (2005) and Ernfors *et al.* (2007), based on abiotic data measured at the Skogaryd drained peat site. Tree stand volume at the Skogaryd drained peat site, which was estimated from clinometer measurements of tree heights and caliper measurements of stem diameters, was used with the Minkkinen *et al.* (2007) and Ojanen *et al.* (2010) equations for CH_4 . The highest tree stand volume was close to 300 m³ ha⁻¹ in both the Minkkinen *et al.* (2007) and Ojanen *et al.* (2010) studies. The stand volume at the Skogaryd drained peat site was estimated to 400 m³ ha⁻¹ and an extrapolation was needed to obtain CH₄ flux values from the models. C:N ratios of the 0–5 cm and 0–20 cm soil layers at the Skogaryd drained peat site were used with the Ernfors *et al.* (2007), Ojanen *et al.* (2010) and Klemedtsson *et al.* (2005) equations for N₂O.

For the analyses of spatial variation in the data, we calculated weighted annual averages for groundwater level, soil moisture and automatically measured soil temperature, for each collar. For manually-measured soil temperature, we calculated weighted averages for the 2007 growing season (May–Oct.), since annual data was not available for all collars.

We used one-way ANOVA to analyse for differences in gas fluxes and abiotic variables between plots. For post-hoc comparisons, we used the Tukey HSD test when the data were homoscedastic and the Games-Howell test when the data were heteroscedastic and could not be improved by transformations. To evaluate our CO_2 flux measurement method, we calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients for wind speed versus CO_2 flux. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (SPSS 14.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MATLAB (The Math-Works, Inc. Massachusetts, USA). We used a statistical significance level (α) of 0.05 for all tests.

Results

The total annual forest floor CO₂ emission. over the main measurement period 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007) was (1 Aug. 72 000 kg CO, ha⁻¹ (SE 5 900 kg CO, ha⁻¹) using the first method and 76 000 kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ (SE 6 000 kg CO_2 ha⁻¹) using the second method (Table 1). The two methods for gapfilling thus yielded similar annual values. We considered the second method as the more reliable since this method took into account the differences between years in the relation between daily CO₂ flux and Ts_5 . We therefore used the results from the second method in the Discussion and in Table 1. The CO_2 flux exhibited a clear seasonal pattern with lower fluxes in the winter and spring and higher fluxes in the summer and autumn (Fig. 2). The annual CO₂ flux differed between plots (ANOVA: $F_2 = 3.7$, p = 0.049), with fluxes at plot 2 significantly larger than for plot 1 (Games Howell: p = 0.024), while plot 6 did not differ from plots 1 or 2 with respect to CO₂ emissions. For plots 1, 2 and 6 together, there was no correlation between hourly CO₂ flux and wind speed values (r = -0.004, p = 0.72, n = 7761). When analysed separately, there was a weak correlation between hourly CO₂ flux and wind speed (r = 0.087, p = 0.000, n = 2041) at plot 2, but no statistically significant differences at plots 1 and 6.

CH₄

The total annual uptake of CH_4 at the site (1 Aug. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007) was

Table 1. Annual gas flux values at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment, during the period of 1 Aug. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007.

Gas	Plot	Annual flux (kg ha ⁻¹)
CH₄	1 2 3 4 5 6	-6.0 ± 0.6 -2.0 ± 0.3 -2.5 ± 0.6 -4.7 ± 0.4 -0.9 ± 0.1 -6.7 ± 0.6
	All All except 5	-3.8 ± 0.4 -4.4 ± 0.4
CO ⁵	1 2 6	60 000 ± 5 000 98 000 ± 10 000 71 000 ± 10 000
	1, 2 and 6	76 000 ± 6 000
N ₂ O	1 2 3 4 5 6	3.3 ± 0.5 16 ± 4 3.9 ± 0.7 2.5 ± 0.3 1.6 ± 0.1 2.4 ± 0.2
	All All except 2	5.0 ± 1.1 2.7 ± 0.2

3.8 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ (SE 0.40) calculated for all plots and 4.4 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ (SE 0.41) when we excluded plot 5 (see Discussion section; Table 1). The models by Minkkinen et al. (2007) and Ojanen et *al.* (2010) predicted CH₄ uptakes of 5.9 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ and 4.2 kg CH_{4} ha⁻¹, respectively, when extrapolated to the estimated tree stand volume of 400 m³ at the Skogaryd drained peat site. In plot 5, the annual CH₄ uptake was significantly lower (oneway ANOVA: $F_5 = 24.7, p < 0.001$) than in plots 1, 4 and 6 (Games-Howell: p = 0.002, p = 0.001 and p = 0.001, respectively). When we excluded plot 5 (see Discussion section), the CH_4 uptake was significantly higher (one-way ANOVA: $F_4 = 16.4$, p < 0.001) in plots 1, 4 and 6 than in plots 2 and 3 (Tukey HSD: 1 and 6 vs. 2 and 3, all *p* < 0.001; 4 vs. 2, p = 0.009; 4 vs. 3, p = 0.044). Despite statistically significant differences between plots, both the spatial and the temporal variations in CH₄ fluxes at the site were small (Table 1, Fig. 2).

N₂O

The total annual N₂O flux at the site, calculated over the period from 1 Aug. 2006 to 31 Jul. 2007, was 5.0 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ (SE 1.10) calculated for all plots and 2.7 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ (SE 0.23) when we excluded plot 2 (see Discussion section; Table 1). Annual fluxes calculated over the period from 7 Nov. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007, including the whole peak in plot 2 (Fig. 2), were 5.7 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ (SE 2.9) when we included all plots and 2.9 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ (SE 0.47) when we excluded plot 2.

The five N₂O emission values derived for the Skogaryd drained peat site using the models by (1) Ernfors *et al.* (2007); (2) Klemedtsson *et al.* (2005); (3) Ojanen *et al.* (2010) — based on their own data; (4) Ojanen *et al.* 2010 — based on their own data and other data from forestry drained peatlands; and (5) Ojanen *et al* (2010) — based on their own data and other data, including afforested agricultural sites, were 3.0, 1.4, 0.8, 1.7 and 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, respectively, when using the C:N ratios of the 0–5 cm (C/N = 21) and 1.9, 0.4, 0.7, 1.2 and 0.3 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, respectively, when using the C:N ratios of the 0–20 cm (C/N = 24) soil layers.

The N_2O emissions at the Skogaryd drained peat site varied considerably, both in space and time. Two peaks in the N_2O emissions could be discerned in all six plots: one in connection with the main spring thaw in Mar. 2007 (Figs. 2 and 5) and one in connection with heavy summer rains in Jul. 2007 (Fig. 2). In addition, there was a large N_2O peak in plot 2 from Aug. to Nov. 2007, which was not present in the other plots.

The large late summer and early autumn N₂O emission peak caused the average annual N₂O flux from plot 2 to be six times higher than the mean of the other five plots (Table 1). The annual In-transformed N₂O flux at plot 2 was significantly higher (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 18.6$, p < 0.001) than that at plots 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (Games-Howell: p = 0.017, p = 0.034, p = 0.009, p = 0.004 and p = 0.009,respectively). The annual ln-transformed N₂O flux at plot 5 was also significantly lower than at plot 6 (Games-Howell: p = 0.025). When we excluded plot 2 from the analysis of the spatial variation in annual log-transformed N₂O emissions, the emissions from plot 5 were significantly lower (oneway ANOVA: $F_4 = 4.9$, p = 0.005) than those from plots 3 and 6 (Games-Howell: p = 0.045 and p = 0.018, respectively), and tended to be lower than from plot 4 (Games-Howell: p = 0.052). Generally, the spatial variation in the N₂O emissions was small when we excluded plot 2 (Table 1).

Soil conditions

Soil temperature at the Skogaryd drained peat site varied between approximately 0°C and 15°C, at depths of both 10 and 20 cm (Fig. 2). At 10 cm depth, the average ln-transformed soil tempera-



Fig 5. Automatically-measured air temperature (grey line) and soil temperature (black line) at a depth of 5 cm, at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment, over the period from 14 Aug. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007. The dashed line indicates a temperature of 0°C.

ture during the growing season (May–Oct.) was significantly higher (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 5.788$, p = 0.001) at plot 5 compared with plots 2 and 6 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.002 and p = 0.001, respectively). Similarly, at 20 cm depth, the average ln-transformed soil temperature during the growing season was significantly higher (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 6.404$, p < 0.001) at plot 5 compared with plots 2 and 6 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.006 and p < 0.001, respectively) but also significantly higher in plots 3 and 4, compared with plot 6 (Tukey HSD: p < 0.025 and p < 0.030, respectively). Despite statistically significant differences between plots, the spatial variation in growing season soil temperatures at the site was small (Table 2).

The groundwater level at the site varied between approximately 0 cm and 65 cm (Fig. 2). The mean annual groundwater table in plot 5 dif-

Table 2. Mean values of pH, C:N ratio, groundwater table and soil temperature at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment, with standard errors. The average groundwater table for the site is presented includ-ing/excluding plot 5. Soil temperate at 10 cm is the average from Aug. 2006–Jul. 2007; and at 20 cm, the average is from May–Oct. 2007.

Plot	p	Н	С	/N	Groundwater table (m)	Soil temper	rature (°C)
	0–5 cm	5–20 cm	0–5 cm	5–20 cm		10 cm	20 cm
1	4.8 ± 0.03	4.6 ± 0.06	21.2 ± 1.4	24.3 ± 1.1	0.43 ± 0.01	11.0 ± 0.06	10.8 ± 0.07
2	4.5 ± 0.07	4.2 ± 0.07	23.0 ± 1.1	23.8 ± 1.7	0.40 ± 0.01	10.8 ± 0.05	10.7 ± 0.04
3	4.5 ± 0.06	4.2 ± 0.06	22.3 ± 0.8	23.8 ± 1.5	0.43 ± 0.01	11.0 ± 0.01	10.8 ± 0.02
4	4.4 ± 0.06	4.2 ± 0.03	21.3 ± 1.1	21.0 ± 0.7	0.47 ± 0.02	11.0 ± 0.03	10.8 ± 0.04
5	4.5 ± 0.03	4.6 ± 0.04	19.7 ± 1.2	32.2 ± 2.3	0.12 ± 0.02	11.1 ± 0.09	10.9 ± 0.08
6	4.7 ± 0.06	4.6 ± 0.05	18.2 ± 0.7	25.8 ± 1.9	0.41 ± 0.01	10.8 ± 0.04	10.6 ± 0.04
All plots	4.6 ± 0.03	4.4 ± 0.04	20.9 ± 0.5	25.2 ± 0.8	0.38/0.43 ± 0.01	10.9 ± 0.03	10.7 ± 0.04



Fig 6. Mean soil moisture in six profiles at plots 1, 2 and 6, at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment from May to Aug. 2007.

fered significantly (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 80.8$, p < 0.001) from all the other plots (Tukey HSD: p < 0.001 for all; Table 2) and was 31 cm higher than the average for the other plots (Fig. 2). This was clearly due to its position in a depression on a forest machinery track, and we regarded plot 5 as an outlier with respect to groundwater table. The mean groundwater table was also significantly lower in plot 4 than at plots 2 and 6 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.013 and p = 0.043, respectively), but the differences were relatively small (Table 2). When plot 5 was excluded from the analysis, the mean groundwater table at plot 4 was again significantly lower (one-way ANOVA: $F_4 = 4.4, p = 0.008$) than at plots 2 and 6 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.006 and p = 0.022, respectively).

For soil moisture content, the vertical pattern in profile 2b deviated sharply from that of the other soil profiles where soil moisture was measured (Fig. 6). At 15 cm the soil was wetter in profile 2b than in profile 2a but at 30 cm, the soil was remarkably dry. At a depth of 15 cm, the soil in profile 2b also retained more of its moisture during dry periods compared with the other soil profiles (Fig. 7). At a depth of 5 cm the soil was wetter in both profiles in plot 2 than in the other plots (Fig. 6).

The C:N ratio of the soil did not differ significantly between plots for the 0–5 cm layer but for the 5–20 cm layer plot 5 had a significantly (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 5.137$, p = 0.002) higher C:N ratio than plot 4 (Games-Howell: p = 0.029) (Table 2). The average C:N ratio for the two



Fig 7. Soil moisture at the depths of 5 cm, 15 cm and 30 cm, at the drained peat site in the Skogaryd research catchment, from 14 Aug. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007.

sampled layers (0–5 cm and 5–20 cm), weighted for their different depths, was 24 (SE 0.62, range 18.5–34.5). The pH in the 0–5 cm layer was significantly (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 6.2$, p < 0.001) higher at plots 1 and 6, compared with plots 3 and 4 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.012, p = 0.002, p = 0.033 and p = 0.005 for 1 vs. 3, 1 vs. 4, 6 vs. 3 and 6 vs. 4, respectively). In the 5–20 cm layer, the pH was significantly higher (one-way ANOVA: $F_5 = 13.7$, p < 0.001) at plots 1, 5 and 6, compared with plots 2, 3 and 4 (Tukey HSD: p = 0.001 for 1 vs. 2, 1 vs. 3, 1 vs. 4, 6 vs. 2, 6 vs. 3 and 6 vs. 4; p < 0.001 for 5 vs. 2, 5 vs. 3 and 5 vs. 4) (Table 2). This indicated that the pH was higher in the southern than in the northern part of the site (Fig. 1).

Discussion

The CO₂ fluxes at the Skogaryd drained peat site often correlated well with soil temperature

at 5 cm depth. Similar results were obtained by Mäkiranta et al. (2008), examining afforested organic soil croplands in Finland, with soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm explaining 71-96 % of the temporal variation in soil respiration. Salm et al. (2012) found that 57-73% of the CO₂ effluxes of managed and natural peatlands was explained by water level and soil temperature at 10 cm. Although a large part of the soil respiration can be statistically explained by soil temperature, the autotrophic part of the soil respiration is, in reality, driven primarily by the supply of recent photosynthates to the roots (Högberg et al. 2001, Högberg and Read 2006) and is, therefore, more dependent on the seasonal pattern of carbon allocation to the roots than on temperature in itself (Högberg et al. 2001, Bhupinderpal-Singh et al. 2003). In the study by Mäkiranta et al. (2008), in which autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration were separated, these parts of the total respiration had identical seasonal patterns. We conclude that a large part of the variation in the CO₂ flux in our study was probably due to the variation in the supply of carbohydrates to the roots.

We compared the average annual CO₂ emission at the Skogaryd drained peat site, from autotrophic and heterotrophic soil respiration, with the values reported from other drained forested peatlands in the boreal and temperate regions (Appendix Table A1). The Skogaryd flux was higher than the fluxes measured at poorly drained forested Swedish peatlands by von Arnold et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2005c), as hypothesized. This is in agreement with the findings of Mäkiranta et al. (2009), based on measurements in Finland, that an optimum groundwater table for heterotrophic soil respiration originating from peat decomposition is 61 cm. In contrast, Widén (2001) presented CO₂ fluxes from a drained peatland in Sweden that were similar to those at the Skogaryd drained peat site, although that site was not well drained. Since heterotrophic and total soil respiration have been shown to increase with higher nutrient status (Silvola et al. 1996b, Minkkinen et al. 2007), we also expected our average annual CO2 emissions at the Skogaryd drained peat site to be higher than those measured at drained forested peatlands with a lower nutrient status. However, the CO₂ fluxes at the

Skogaryd drained peat site were also higher than in previous studies on similar soils, i.e., forested organic soils with both a high nutrient status and a low groundwater table.

Higher CO₂ emissions from the soil at the Skogaryd drained peat site, compared with other studies, could have been due to greater biomass in the tree stand. The stand volume at the Skogaryd site was more than double that of the largest stand volumes in the studies described by Mäkiranta et al. (2007) and Minkkinen et al. (2007). Silvola et al. (1996a) and Maljanen et al. (2001) did not present values for stand volume, but the aboveground biomass of 40 600 kg ha⁻¹ mentioned in Maljanen et al. (2001) indicates that the tree stand volume was small. The higher flux values at the Skogaryd drained peat site could thus to some extent be the result of greater root respiration and a larger input of litter, compared with most other similar sites described in the literature. Silvola et al. (1996b) showed that the root contribution (including oxidation of root exudates and fine root litter) to soil respiration correlated well with tree stand volume in a study involving ten Finnish peatland sites. In addition, in a meta-analysis by Subke et al. (2006) using soil CO₂ flux partitioning studies carried out in many different ecosystems, it was shown that the relative contribution of autotrophic respiration to soil respiration increased with total soil respiration. The stand density, mean tree heights and diameters presented in Widén (2001) suggest a tree stand volume of less than 100 m³ ha⁻¹, i.e., much smaller that at the Skogaryd drained peat site. However, the C:N ratio (18) was lower than at the Skogaryd drained peat site, which may have led to higher heterotrophic fluxes (Silvola et al. 1996b, Minkkinen et al. 2007). In conclusion, it is likely that autotrophic respiration from the large tree stand contributed to the high CO₂ fluxes at the Skogaryd drained peat site.

Although a large tree stand could probably explain part of the discrepancy between our CO_2 flux results and those of previous studies, the measurement technique could also have played an important part. Heinemeyer *et al.* (2011) showed that the depth to which chambers are inserted into the soil has a drastic influence on measured CO_2 fluxes, even with insertion depths of only a few centimetres, due to severing of

fine roots. In the present study, great care was taken when chamber collars were installed, with the specific intention of leaving roots and mycorrhiza as undisturbed as possible. In the one previous study that yielded flux values close to those of the Skogaryd drained peat site (Widén, 2001), chambers were installed to a maximum depth of 0.5 cm, i.e., without any significant disturbance of roots or mycelia. In contrast, in the studies by von Arnold et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2005c), collars were installed several cm deep, which could have led to underestimations of the CO₂ flux. Mäkiranta et al. (2008) and Ojanen et al. (2010) installed their chambers in 2 cm deep grooves, in their non-trenched plots, which is not deep, but the installation may still have cut some mycorrhiza and fine roots and influenced CO₂ fluxes. Maljanen et al. (2001) did not specify chamber installation depth, while Silvola et al. (1996a, 1996b) and Laine et al. (1996) placed their chambers on top of the soil surface, using a cellular plastic seal. Ernfors et al. (2010), Klemedtsson et al. (2010) and Rütting et al. (2014) used the same method as in the present study, letting at least half of the chamber rest on top of the peat without any cutting. Our conclusion is that less cutting of roots and mycorrhiza may have added to the differences in CO, fluxes between the Skogaryd drained peat site and the sites described by von Arnold et al. (2005a, 2005b, 2005c), Mäkiranta et al. (2008) and Ojanen et al. (2010). However, it cannot explain the entire flux difference between the Skogaryd drained peat site and most other studies.

It is remarkable that the annual forest floor CO_2 fluxes measured at the Skogaryd drained peat site were about three times larger than that measured at the control plots of a wood ash fertilization study carried out about 200 m to the SW on the same drained peatland (Klemedtsson *et al.* 2010). This could not be explained either by site characteristics, which were similar, or by collar design or installation, which were the same at the two sites.

Similar differences were also found between the CO_2 fluxes measured at the Skogaryd drained peat site using automatic chambers and CO_2 emissions calculated from the manual samples collected at the same site for N₂O and CH₄ flux calculations (data not shown). This discrepancy was clearly due to properties of the chambers or the ways in which the chambers were used, since the fluxes were measured on the same collars. The main characteristics of the automatic chambers and their use at the Skogaryd drained peat site, that could differ from those of the manual chambers used and of those used at other sites, were: the use of fans and vents, the covering of the whole diurnal cycle, the closed chamber technique, the medium duration of the measurements and the fact that they were unusually high.

The use of fans in static chambers increases the gradient between soil and atmosphere during the measurement and can lead to overestimations of the gas flux when ambient wind speeds are low, e.g., during night-time (Lai et al. 2012, Koskinen et al. 2014). This is particularly likely to occur at porous soils such as peat and when using short measurement times (Lai et al. 2012). The automatic chambers used at the Skogaryd drained peat site were equipped with three fans, but the largest of the three was only in use before the measurement started, for the purpose of obtaining the same gas concentration in the chamber headspace as in the ambient air. This fan had a volumetric flow rate (32.1 L s^{-1}) that was larger than the combined flow rate of the two fans used during the measurements (15.2 L s⁻¹). Overestimation occurs when turbulence increases after closing the chamber (Lai et al. 2012, Koskinen et al. 2014) and in this case there would rather be a risk of underestimation of the flux, since the turbulence decreased when the measurement started. Also, CO₂ fluxes measured using the automatic chambers were higher during the day (06.00-18.00)than during the night (18.00–06.00), for the time period of 1 Aug. 2006 to 6 Nov. 2007 (paired t-test: $t_{1047} = 9.644$; p < 0.001). When analysing CO, fluxes for each month separately, night-time fluxes were significantly higher than daytime fluxes only in Jan. 2007. This makes it unlikely that the high fluxes were due to an overestimation caused by the fans. The soil at the Skogaryd drained peat site was also not particularly porous for a peatland, since it was drained and consisted of fen peat, and the height of the chambers allowed for relatively long measurement times, since headspace saturation was slower than it would have been for lower chambers. Compared with manual measurements, which are for practical reasons almost exclusively carried out during daytime, measurements over complete diurnal cycles will give more realistic fluxes. Higher autotrophic CO_2 fluxes during the night-time have been observed in forest systems (Heinemeyer *et al.* 2011) and would have led to higher flux values for complete diurnal cycles than for daytime measurements. However, the opposite was true in our study and the measurement of full diurnal cycles could not explain why the fluxes were higher than in other studies.

Gas fluxes can be overestimated for closed dynamic chambers if there is a leakage in the pumping system or if the air flow back into the chamber is restricted relative to the air flow to the pump, causing a lower than ambient air pressure inside the chamber (Fang and Moncrieff, 1998). A well-designed vent should, however, effectively counteract this effect (Davidson et al. 2002). The vent used on the automatic chambers in this study conformed to the criteria by Hutchinson and Mosier (1981) and should have eliminated this type of overestimation. Some authors have debated whether a vent in itself could cause large overestimations of fluxes (Conen and Smith 1998, Hutchingson and Livingston 2001), due to a Venturi effect. This could have applied to our chambers, but a Venturi effect is larger when wind speeds are high, and there was no significant correlation in our study between hourly wind speed and CO₂ flux values, for the site as a whole. There was, however, a weak correlation between wind speed and CO₂ flux at plot 2, which means it is possible that the vents contributed to some extent to the high fluxes.

The chambers used in our study were considerably higher than those used in the other studies (see Appendix Table A1). The use of high chambers and short gas accumulation periods has been recommended in order to avoid underestimates of gas fluxes when using the closed chamber technique (Conen and Smith 2000, Healy *et al.* 1996). This could indicate that our high fluxes stemmed from a smaller underestimation than in most studies. The study by Widén (2001) yielded flux values comparable to those at the Skogaryd drained peat site, but they used an open chamber. Open chambers do not suffer from the effects of changes in flux gradients due to build-up of gas concentrations in the headspace (Pumpanen et al. 2004). Meyer et al. (2013) found an annual heterotrophic soil respiration of 47 600 (SD: 6200) kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ (including soil organic matter and litter respiration but excluding root respiration) in trenched plots at the Skogaryd drained peat site in 2008, using the same chambers as in the present study. They compared this value to a corresponding heterotrophic flux value of 33 000 kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ calculated from micrometeorological measurements combined with biomass measurements and modelling. This independent confirmation of high heterotrophic forest floor CO₂ fluxes at the Skogaryd drained peat site strengthens the evidence that the high CO₂ fluxes are valid and that those measured by low manual unvented chambers at the same site (Ernfors et al. 2010, Klemedtsson et al. 2010 & Rutting et al. 2014) were underestimated.

CH₄

Since soil water content is one of the most important factors controlling CH₄ uptake in soils (Ball et al. 1997, Jang et al. 2006), the low CH₄ uptake at plot 5 could be attributed to its high groundwater level. Plot 5 was regarded as an outlier with respect to groundwater table and was therefore regarded as an outlier also with respect to CH₄ fluxes. The relatively low groundwater table in plot 4 might explain the high CH₄ uptake at this plot, but in plots 1 and 6, the higher CH₄ uptake instead coincided with the higher pH in the southern part of the site. However, pH is only a minor factor controlling CH₄ uptake (Jang et al. 2006) and it is likely that the difference in pH mirrored differences in peat properties that were not analysed in this study, e.g., degree of decomposition, plant origin of the peat, peat structure or peat bulk density. These factors could affect e.g., the diffusivity of the soil, which would influence CH₄ uptake (King and Adamsen 1992, Dörr et al. 1993, Smith et al. 2000).

An uptake of CH_4 was expected, since the Skogaryd drained peat site is well drained and nutrient rich. Previous studies on organic forest soils with these characteristics have shown mean CH_4 uptake rates of, for example,

4.6 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Maljanen *et al.* 2003), 1.3 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Mäkiranta et al. 2007) and 0.8 kg CH_4 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Weslien *et al.* 2009). The CH₄ uptake rates of 5.9 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ and 4.2 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ predicted by the models by Minkkinen et al. (2007) and Ojanen et al. (2010), respectively, were close to the measured average site uptake, excluding plot 5, of 4.4 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ (SE 0.41). Our measurements thus support the validity of these two models. The agreement between modelled and measured values also indicates that it would be possible to include data from the Skogaryd drained peat site in the Minkkinen et al. (2007) and Ojanen et al. (2010) models, which would broaden their ranges and increase their usefulness for up-scaling CH₄ fluxes to national levels.

N₂O

The sediment layer present at 25–30 cm depth in parts of plot 2 seemed to affect soil moisture content (particularly in soil profile 2b, Figs. 6 and 7) and in turn, the N₂O emissions (Fig. 2). The high soil moisture content above the sediment layer and very low moisture below, and the fact that the soil moisture in profile 2b remained high during the relatively dry periods from Apr.-Jun. and from Aug.-Nov. 2007 (Fig. 7), led us to conclude that the sediment layer restricted vertical water movement and that the large N₂O peak in Jul. 2007 was probably due to the combination of high soil temperature and high soil water content. The absence of a N₂O peak in plot 2 in 2006, similar to that in 2007, could be explained by the different summer precipitation patterns. The summer of 2007 was extremely wet (SMHI 2008) and although most of the precipitation fell in July (Fig. 2), the soil moisture in the top soil layers of plot 2 remained high throughout the whole summer (Fig. 7). In 2006, the summer precipitation was lower than the long-term average (1961-1990) until the end of July (SMHI 2007). Due to this long period of drought, the soil never achieved particularly high moisture levels, despite heavy rains in August.

In contrast to plot 2, plot 5 had low N_2O emissions, which was probably due to its position in a depression caused by forest machinery.

During parts of the year, the groundwater table in plot 5 would have been high enough to significantly reduce oxygen availability, which would have limited the aerobic process of nitrification. With lower nitrification, limited availability of nitrate as a substrate for denitrification could explain the lower N₂O fluxes in this plot. It is also possible that a higher proportion of the total denitrification flux was in the form of N₂, rather than N₂O, due to the wetter conditions (Weier *et al.* 1993).

The N₂O emission peak in Mar. 2007 is likely to have been induced by freezing and thawing, since air and soil temperature at 5 cm depth was around 0°C at this time (Fig. 5) and peaks in N₂O emissions during freezing and thawing periods have often been observed (Goodroad and Keeney 1984, Christensen and Tiedje 1990, Flessa et al. 1995; Röver et al. 1998, Dörsch and Bakken 2004). The mechanism behind these emissions is not yet clear (Öqvist et al. 2004), but contributing factors could include the release of physically trapped N₂O (Goodroad and Keeney 1984) and the increased supply of readily available organic carbon to the microbial population, which also enhances anaerobiosis (Mørkved et al. 2006).

The average annual N₂O fluxes from the Skogaryd drained peat site were similar to those measured by Klemedtsson et al. (2010), in the control plots of an ash treatment experiment on the same peatland (5.0 kg N_2O ha⁻¹ and 3.2 kg N₂O ha⁻¹, in 2006–2007 and 2007–2008, respectively). The average N₂O flux presented in Ernfors et al. (2011), which was also used in the calculations by Meyer et al. (2013), was higher than in the present study, since it was based on only three of the plots, including the highemitting plot 2. The average N₂O emission for the site, excluding plot 2, (2.7 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, SE (0.23) was in between the values predicted on the basis of the C:N ratios of the 0-5 cm and 0-2 cm soil depths, using the model presented by Ernfors et al. (2007), and the C:N ratios used to build the model referred to the upper 10-20 cm of the soil. Ojanen et al. (2010) concluded that the C:N ratio was the factor that best explained N₂O emissions, but all the three models that they constructed predicted much lower emissions (0.8–1.7 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ for

the 0–5 cm layer and 0.3–1.2 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ for the 0-20 cm layer) for the Skogaryd drained peat site, compared with both measured fluxes and the Ernfors et al. (2007) model. Using the Skogaryd drained peat site C:N ratios with the model constructed by Klemedtsson et al. (2005), which was based on exactly the same data as the Ernfors et al. (2007) model, the predicted flux values were also lower than the measured values $(0.4-1.4 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1})$. In contrast to the other models mentioned here, which all take the form of: $y = y_0 + ae^{-bx}$, the Ernfors *et al.* (2007) model is written in the form of: $y = e^{(a - b(\ln x))}$, which created a slightly flatter curve when the model was fitted to the data. Our study confirms that soil C:N ratios has the potential to be a good predictor of N₂O emissions from drained forested organic soils, but that the choice of model can have a large influence on the results. Including more data as it becomes available, especially annual N₂O flux values from sites with low C:N ratios, is likely to substantially improve these types of models.

The N₂O emission peak in plot 2 in 2007 showed that there is a potential for high summer N₂O emissions at the Skogaryd drained peat site, if the soil moisture content is sufficiently high. This suggests that the annual N₂O emissions are limited by the low groundwater table. Jungkunst et al. (2008) found in a microcosm experiment that the maximum N₂O flux from hydromorphic soils (including one Histosol) occurred when the groundwater table was at a depth of 20 cm. Goldberg and Gebauer (2009) also found that drought turned a Haplic Podsol with a 6-10 cm mor layer into a sink for N₂O, while rewetting of the soil turned it back into a source. These studies support the conclusion that the summer N₂O emissions at the Skogaryd drained peat site were limited by drought. The N₂O fluxes from the well-drained sites studied by Mäkiranta et al. (2007) and Weslien et al. (2009) were higher than those at the Skogaryd drained peat site, despite lower or similar mean groundwater tables (~40-50 cm and 53 cm, respectively). However, these sites also had low C:N ratios (13-28 and 13, respectively), which suggests that the soil C:N ratio is the main factor determining N₂O emissions and that the groundwater table is a secondary, regulating, factor. The use

of the model presented in Ernfors *et al.* (2007) is supported by the measured data, but the inclusion of groundwater levels in the model would likely improve its predictions.

Representativeness of the site

The Skogaryd drained peat site can be assumed to be representative of Swedish peatlands that were intensively cultivated prior to afforestation. The site was used for growing oats and potatoes for approximately 80 years and the cultivation was therefore both intensive and long-term. The current area of afforested agricultural soils in Sweden cannot be accurately retrieved from any database, but indirect estimates indicate that these soils cover large areas. In the 1920s, approximately 600 000 ha of organic soil was used for agriculture in Sweden (Hallgren and Berglund 1962) while presently this area is only 301 489 ha (Berglund and Berglund 2010). These estimates include both peat and "gyttja" soils, but peat soils dominate (Berglund and Berglund 2010). The approximately 300 000 ha of organic soil that appear to have been taken out of agricultural use can largely be assumed to have been afforested. In the Swedish National Forest Soil Inventory (RIS 2019b), there are sample plots where the soil can be classified as organic (C content > 12%) but not as peat, since the top soil is mixed with mineral soil and classified as an A horizon. The area of this category of organic soil was calculated by Ernfors et al. (2007) and found to cover 169 000 ha in Sweden, amounting to 11% of the total drained organic forest soil. Ernfors et al. (2007) assumed that most of this area was former agricultural soil, since the mixing of mineral soil and organic matter can be the result of tillage. Soils which had a thin peat layer before drainage and tillage, or soils which have lost most of their peat due to decomposition and then been tilled, would probably belong to this category. Soils with a thicker peat layer are less likely to have been mixed with mineral soil, and large areas of afforested former agricultural soil could therefore also have been categorised as true peat soils. Most likely, former agricultural soils constitute a significant part of the 1.5 Mha

(Ernfors *et al.* 2007) of drained organic forest soils in Sweden.

According to the Swedish National Inventory of Forests (RIS 2019b) the median top soil C:N ratio for all drained organic forest soils in Sweden is 24. This value is based on samples from the 0-10 cm layer if the top soil is an A horizon and the 0-30 cm layer if the top soil is an O horizon (RIS 2019a). The Skogaryd drained peat site with its mean C:N ratio of 24 in the upper 20 cm can thus be regarded as representative of Swedish drained organic forest soils in this respect. The median C:N value for the organic soils not classified as peat in the Swedish National Forest Soil Inventory is 21, based on samples from the 0-10 cm layer. This means that the Skogaryd drained peat site, with a C:N ratio of 21 in the 0-5 cm layer, can be seen as representative also for this type of soils, which are likely to have been cultivated prior to afforestation (Ernfors et al. 2007).

In the Swedish National Inventory of Forests, the Swedish forest soils are divided into five soil moisture classes: dry, mesic, mesic-moist, moist or wet (RIS 2019a). According to the calculations by von Arnold et al. (2005a), which were based on the Swedish National Forest Inventory (part of the Swedish National Inventory of Forests, RIS 2019b), 80% of the Swedish drained organic forestland belongs to the soil moisture class mesic-moist. The mesic-moist soil moisture category is assumed to represent sites with an average growing season groundwater table between 0.5 m and 1 m, based on observations of e.g., topography, visible surface water and vegetation (RIS 2019a). The average groundwater level for the Skogaryd drained peat site, between 1 Aug. 2006 and 31 Jul. 2007, was 43 cm (SE 0.01) if plot 5 was excluded (Table 2). During the 2007 growing season, the average groundwater table (excluding plot 5) was at a depth of 49 cm. Since the summer of 2007 was extremely wet in southern Sweden, we assumed that the long-term average groundwater table was lower than in 2007 and the site should therefore be classified as mesic-moist. This was also consistent with observations of visible surface water and vegetation. Being classified as mesic-moist, the site is representative of most of the Swedish drained organic forest land, with

respect to moisture status. Soils in this soil moisture class can be considered as well drained (von Arnold *et al.* 2005a).

In summary, the Skogaryd drained peat site is representative of well-drained organic forest soils in Sweden and has a background which places it in the category of afforested agricultural peatlands. It would therefore be well justified to use results from the site in predictions of national N₂O emissions from well-drained afforested agricultural peatlands.

Conclusions

The total annual CH₄ flux for an afforested peatland in the Skogaryd research catchment was in agreement with the models presented by Minkkinen et al. (2007) and Ojanen et al. (2010), which predict annual CH₄ fluxes of drained forested peatlands on the basis of their stand biomass. The total annual N₂O flux was also in agreement with the flux predicted from the C:N ratio of the soil using the model presented in Ernfors et al. (2007). The prediction power of such models was thus supported. The spatial and temporal patterns of N₂O emissions suggested that these were limited by drought during the summer. The total annual soil CO₂ emissions were large in comparison to measurements made at Swedish peatlands with higher groundwater tables (von Arnold et al. 2005a, 2005b, 2005c), as hypothesized, but also in comparison to measurements at well-drained forested peatlands with a high nutrient status (Silvola et al. 1996a, Maljanen et al. 2001, Mäkiranta et al. 2007, Mäkiranta et al. 2008). The high emissions could partly be attributed to root respiration and decomposition of recent litter from the productive tree stand, but we concluded that the results were also affected by an improved chamber design. This suggests that further comparisons and standardizations of static chambers are needed.

Acknowledgements: We are sincerely grateful to the late Mats Räntfors for his technical assistance during the project. We acknowledge the financial support from FORMAS, the Swedish Research Council, Tellus (The Centre of Earth Systems Science, University of Gothenburg) and the European project, NitroEurope.

References

- Alexandersson H. & Eggertsson Karlström C. 2001. Temperaturen och nederbörden i Sverige 1961–90: Referensnormaler — utgåva 2. Meteorologi 99. [In Swedish].
- Alm J., Shurpali N.J., Minkkinen K., Aro L., Hytonen J. & Laurila T. 2007a . Emission factors and their uncertainty for the exchange of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in Finnish managed peatlands. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 191–209.
- Alm J., Shurpali N.J., Tuittila E.S., Laurila T., Maljanen M., Saarnio S. & Minkkinen M. 2007b. Methods for determining emission factors for the use of peat and peatlands — flux measurements and modelling. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 85–100.
- Armentano T.V. & Menges E.S. 1986. Patterns of change in the carbon balance of organic soil-wetlands of the temperate zone. J. Ecol. 74: 755–774.
- Ball B.C., Dobbie K.E., Parker J.P. & Smith K.A. 1997. The influence of gas transport and porosity on methane oxidation in soils. *J. Geophys. Res.* 102: 23301–23308.
- Bartlett K.B. & Harriss R.C. 1993. Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands. *Chemosphere* 26: 261–320.
- Berglund Ö. & Berglund K. 2010. Distribution and cultivation intensity of agricultural peat and gyttja soils in Sweden and estimation of greenhouse gas emissions from cultivated peat soils. *Geoderma* 154: 173–180.
- Bhupinderpal-Singh, Nordgren A., Ottosson Löfvenius M., Högberg M.N., Mellander P.E. & Högberg P. 2003. Tree root and soil heterotrophic respiration as revealed by girdling of boreal Scots pine forest: extending observations beyond the first year. *Plant Cell Environ.* 26: 1287—1296.
- Björk R.G., Ernfors M., Sikström U., Nilsson M.B., Andersson M.X., Rütting T. & Klemedtsson L. 2010. Contrasting effects of wood ash application on microbial community structure, biomass and processes in drained forested peatlands. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 73: 550–562.
- Campbell Scientific Inc. CS616 and CS625 Water Content Reflectometers Instruction Manual 8/06. Campbell Scientific Inc. 2006, Logan UT, USA.
- Christensen S. & Tiedje J.M. 1990. Brief and vigorous N₂O Production by soil at spring thaw. J. Soil Sci. 41: 1–4.
- Clymo R.S., Turunen J. & Tolonen K. 1998. Carbon accumulation in peatland. Oikos 81: 368–388.
- Conen F. & Smith K.A. 1998. A re-examination of closed flux chamber methods for the measurement of trace gas emissions from soils to the atmosphere. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 49: 701–707.
- Conen F. & Smith K.A. 2000. An explanation of linear increases in gas concentration under closed chambers used to measure gas exchange between soil and the atmosphere. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 51: 111–117.
- Davidson E.A., Savage K., Verchot L.V. & Navarro R. 2002. Minimizing artifacts and biases in chamber-based measurements of soil respiration. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 113: 21–37.
- Dörr H., Katruff L. & Levin I. 1993. Soil texture parameterization of the methane uptake in aerated soils. *Chemos-*

phere 26: 697-713.

- Dörsch P. & Bakken L.R. 2004. Low-temperature response of denitrification: Comparison of soils. *Eurasian Soil Sci.* 37: 102–106.
- Ernfors M., Rütting T. & Klemedtsson L. 2011. Increased nitrous oxide emissions from a drained organic forest soil after exclusion of ectomycorrhizal mycelia. *Plant Soil* 343: 161–170.
- Ernfors M., Sikström U., Nilsson M. & Klemedtsson L. 2010. Effects of wood ash fertilization on forest floor greenhouse gas emissions and tree growth in nutrient poor drained peatland forests. *Sci. Total Environ.* 408: 4580–4590.
- Ernfors M., von Arnold K., Stendahl J., Olsson M. & Klemedtsson L. 2007. C:N ratio based estimates of nitrous oxide emissions from Swedish drained organic forest soils. *Biogeochemistry* 84: 219–231.
- Fang C. & Moncrieff J.B. 1998. An open-top chamber for measuring soil respiration and the influence of pressure difference on CO₂ efflux measurement. *Funct. Ecol.* 12: 319–325.
- Flessa H., Dörsch P. & Beese F. 1995. Seasonal-variation of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in differently managed arable soils in southern Germany. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 100: 23115–23124.
- Goldberg S.D. & Gebauer G. 2009. Drought turns a Central European Norway spruce forest soil from an N_2O source to a transient N_2O sink. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15: 850–860.
- Goodroad L.L. & Keeney D.R. 1984. Nitrous-oxide emissions from soils during thawing. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 64: 187–194.
- Gorham E. 1991. Northern peatlands: role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecol. Appl.* 1: 182–195.
- Hallgren G. & Berglund G. 1962. De odlade myrjordarnas omfattning och användning. Skrivelse till styrelsen för lantbrukshögskolan och statens lantbruksförsök. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala.
- Healy R.W., Striegl R.G., Russell T.F., Hutchinson G.L. & Livingston G.P. 1996. Numerical evaluation of static-chamber measurements of soil–atmosphere gas exchange: Identification of physical processes. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60: 740–747.
- Heinemeyer A., Di Bene C., Lloyd A.R., Tortorella D., Baxter R., Huntley B., Gelsomino A. & Ineson P. 2011. Soil respiration: implications of the plant-soil continuum and respiration chamber collar-insertion depth on measurement and modelling of soil CO₂ efflux rates in three ecosystems. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 62: 82–94.
- Högberg P. & Read D.J. 2006. Towards a more plant physiological perspective on soil ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21: 548–554.
- Högberg P., Nordgren A., Buchmann N., Taylor A.F.S., Ekblad A., Högberg M.N., Nyberg G., Ottosson-Löfvenius M. & Read D.J. 2001. Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration. *Nature* 411: 789–792.
- Hutchinson G.L. & Mosier A.R. 1981. Improved soil cover method for field measurement of nitrous oxide fluxes.

Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45: 311-316.

- Hutchinson G.L. & Livingston G.P. 2001. Vents and seals in non-steady-state chambers used for measuring gas exchange between soil and the atmosphere. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 52: 675–682.
- IPCC, 2013: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jang I., Lee S., Hong J-H. & Kang H. 2006. Methane oxidation rates in forest soils and their controlling variables: A review and a case study in Korea. *Ecol. Res.* 21: 849–854.
- Jungkunst H.F., Flessa H., Scherber C. & Fiedler S. 2008. Groundwater level controls CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes of three different hydromorphic soil types of a temperate forest ecosystem. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40: 2047–2054.
- Kasimir-Klemedtsson Å, Klemedtsson L. & Berglund K. 1997. Greenhouse gas emissions from farmed organic soils: a review. *Soil Use Manage*. 13: 245–250.
- King G.M. & Adamsen A.P.S. 1992. Effects of temperature on methane consumption in a forest soil and in pure cultures of the methanotroph *Methylomonas rubra*. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 58: 2758–2763.
- Klemedtsson L., Kasimir Klemedtsson Å., Moldan F. & Weslien P. 1997. Nitrous oxide emission from Swedish forest soils in relation to liming and simulated increased N-deposition. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 25: 290–295.
- Klemedtsson L., von Arnold K., Weslien P. & Gundersen P. 2005. Soil CN ratio as a scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions. *Glob. Change Biol.* 11: 1142–1147.
- Klemedtsson L., Ernfors M., Björk R.G., Weslien P., Rütting T., Crill P. & Sikström U. 2010. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by wood ash application to a Picea abies (L.) Karst. forest on a drained organic soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 61: 734–744.
- Koskinen M., Minkkinen K., Ojanen P., Kämäräinen M., Laurila T. & Lohila A. 2014. Measurements of CO₂ exchange with an automated chamber system throughout the year: challenges in measuring night-time respiration on porous peat soil. *Biogeosciences* 11: 347-363.
- Lai D.Y.F., Roulet N.T., Humphreys E.R., Moore T.R. & Dalva M. 2012. The effect of atmospheric turbulence and chamber deployment period on autochamber CO₂ and CH₄ flux measurements in an ombrotrophic peatland. *Biogeosciences* 9: 3305–3322.
- Laiho R. 2006. Decomposition in peatlands: Reconciling seemingly contrasting results on the impacts of lowered water levels. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38: 2011–2024.
- Laine J., Silvola J., Tolonen K., Alm J., Nykänen H., Vasander H., Sallantaus T., Savolainen I., Sinisalo J. & Martikainen P.J. 1996. Effect of water-level drawdown on global climatic warming: Northern peatlands. *Ambio* 25: 179–184.

Lohila A., Laurila T., Aro L., Aurela M., Tuovinen J.P., Laine

J., Kolari P. & Minkkinen K. 2007. Carbon dioxide exchange above a 30-year-old Scots pine plantation established on organic-soil cropland. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 141–157.

- Maljanen M., Hytönen J. & Martikainen P.J. 2001. Fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ on afforested boreal agricultural soils. *Plant Soil* 231: 113–121.
- Maljanen M., Liikanen A., Silvola J. & Martikainen P.J. 2003. Methane fluxes on agricultural and forested boreal organic soils. *Soil Use Manage*. 19: 73–79.
- Maljanen M., Hytönen J., Mäkiranta P., Alm J., Minkkinen K., Laine J. & Martikainen P.J. 2007. Greenhouse gas emissions from cultivated and abandoned organic croplands in Finland. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 133–140.
- Maljanen M., Shurpali N., Hytönen J., Mäkiranta P., Aro L., Potila H., Laine J., Li C., Martikainen P.J. 2012. Afforestation does not necessarily reduce nitrous oxide emissions from managed boreal peat soils. *Biogeochemistry* 108: 199–218.
- Maljanen M., Sigurdsson B.D., Guðmundsson J., Óskarsson H., Huttunen J.T. & Martikainen P.J. 2010. Greenhouse gas balances of managed peatlands in the Nordic countries – present knowledge and gaps. *Biogeosciences*. 7: 2711–2738.
- Martikainen P.J., Nykänen H., Crill P. & Silvola J. 1993. Effect of lowered water table on nitrous oxide fluxes from nortern peatlands. *Nature* 366: 51–53.
- Mäkiranta P., Minkkinen K., Hytönen J. & Laine J. 2008. Factors causing temporal and spatial variation in heterotrophic and rhizospheric components of soil respiration in afforested organic soil croplands in Finland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 40: 1592–1600.
- Mäkiranta P., Hytönen J., Aro L., Maljanen M., Pihlatie M., Potila H., Shurpali N.J., Laine J., Lohila A., Martikainen P.J. & Minkkinen K. 2007. Soil greenhouse gas emissions from afforested organic soil croplands and cutaway peatlands. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 159–175.
- Mäkiranta P., Laiho R., Fritze H., Hytönen J., Laine J. & Minkkinen K. 2009. Indirect regulation of heterotrophic peat soil respiration by water level via microbial community structure and temperature sensitivity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41: 695–703.
- Meyer A., Tarvainen L., Nousratpour A., Björk R.G., Ernfors M., Grelle A., Kasimir Klemedtsson Å., Lindroth A., Räntfors M., Rütting T., Wallin G., Weslien P. & Klemedtsson L. 2013. A fertile peatland forest does not constitute a major greenhouse gas sink. *Biogeosciences*. 10: 7739–7758.
- Minkkinen K. & Laine J. 2006. Vegetation heterogeneity and ditches create spatial variability in methane fluxes from peatlands drained for forestry. *Plant Soil* 285: 289–304.
- Minkkinen K., Byrne K. & Trettin C. 2008. Climate impacts of peatland forestry. In: Strack M. (ed.), *Peatlands and Climate Change*, International Peat Society, Jyväskylä, pp. 98–122.
- Minkkinen K., Korhonen R., Savolainen I. & Laine J. 2002. Carbon balance and radiative forcing of Finnish peatlands 1900–2100 — the impact of forestry drainage. *Glob. Change Biol.* 8: 785–799.

Minkkinen K., Laine J., Shurpali N.J., Mäkiranta P., Alm

J. & Penttilä P. 2007. Heterotrophic soil respiration in forestry-drained peatlands. *Boreal Environ. Res.* 12: 115–126.

- Minkkinen K., Ojanen P., Penttilä T., Aurela M., Laurila T., Tuovinen J-P. & Lohila A. 2018. Persistent carbon sink at a boreal drained bog forest. *Biogeosciences* 15: 3603–3624.
- Morén A-S. & Lindroth A. 2000. CO₂ exchange at the floor of a boreal forest. Agric. For. Meteorol. 101: 1–14.
- Mørkved P.T., Dörsch P., Henriksen T.M. & Bakken L.R. 2006. N₂O emissions and product ratios of nitrification and denitrification as affected by freezing and thawing. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38: 3411–3420.
- Nilsson M., Mikkelä C., Sundh I., Granberg G., Svensson B.H. & Ranneby B. 2001. Methane emission from Swedish mires: national and regional budgets and dependence on mire vegetation. J. Geophys. Res. 106: 20 847–20 860.
- Nykänen H., Alm J., Silvola J., Tolonen K. & Martikainen P.J. 1998. Methane fluxes on boreal peatlands of different fertility and the effect of long-term experimental lowering of the water table on flux rates. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 12: 53–69.
- Ojanen P., Lehtonen A., Heikkinen J., Penttilä T. & Minkkinen K. 2014. Soil CO₂ balance and its uncertainty in forestry-drained peatlands in Finland. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 325: 60–73.
- Ojanen P., Minkkinen K., Alm J. & Penttilä T. 2010. Soilatmosphere CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in boreal forestrydrained peatlands. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 260: 411–421.
- Ojanen P., Minkkinen K., Lohila A., Badorek T. & Penttilä T. 2012. Chamber measured soil respiration: A useful tool for estimating the carbon balance of peatland forest soils? *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 277: 132–140.
- Ojanen P., Minkkinen K. & Penttilä T. 2013. The current greenhouse gas impact of forestry-drained boreal peatlands. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 289: 201–208.
- Ojanen P., Penttilä T. Tolvanen A., Hotanen J-P., Saarimaa M., Nousiainen H. & Minkkinen K. 2019. Long-term effect of fertilization on the greenhouse gas exchange of low-productive peatland forests. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 432: 786–798.
- Öquist M.G., Nilsson M., Sörensson F., Kasimir-Klemedtsson Å., Persson T., Weslien P. & Klemedtsson L. 2004. Nitrous oxide production in a forest soil at low temperatures—processes and environmental controls. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 49: 371–378.
- Pavilainen E, Päivänen J. 1995. Peatland forestry ecology and principles. Ecological Studies, 111. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Pumpanen J., Kolari P., Ilvesniemi H., Minkkinen K., Vesala T., Niinistö S., Lohila A., Larmola T., Morero M., Pihlatie M., Janssens I., Yuste J.C., Grünzweig J.M., Reth S., Subke J-A., Savage K., Kutsch W., Østreng G., Ziegler W., Anthoni P., Lindroth A., Hari P. 2004. Comparison of different chamber techniques for measuring soil CO, flux. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 123: 159–176.
- Regina K., Nykänen H., Silvola J. & Martikainen P.J. 1996. Fluxes of nitrous oxide from boreal peatlands as affected by peatland type, water table level and nitrification

capacity. Biogeochemistry 35: 401-418.

- RIS (Swedish National Inventory of Forests). 2019. RIS Fältinstruktion 2019. The Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala. [In Swedish].
- RIS (Swedish National Forest Inventory). 2019. Swedish National Forest Inventory. Available at: https://www.slu. se/en/Collaborative-Centres-and-Projects/the-swedishnational-forest-inventory. Cited 29 Sep. 2019.
- Röver M., Heinemeyer O. & Kaiser E.A. 1998. Microbial induced nitrous oxide emissions from an arable soil during winter. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 30: 1859–1865.
- Rütting T., Björk R.G., Meyer A., Klemedtsson L., Sikström U. 2014. Reduced global warming potential after wood ash application in drained Northern peatland forests. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 328: 159–166.
- Salm J-O., Maddison M., Tammik S., Soosaar K., Truu J. & Mander Ü. 2012. Emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from undisturbed, drained and mined peatlands in Estonia. *Hydrobiologia* 692: 41–55.
- Silvola J., Alm J., Ahlholm U., Nykänen H. & Martikainen P.J. 1996a. CO₂ fluxes from peat in boreal mires under varying temperature and moisture conditions. *J. Ecol.* 84: 219–228.
- Silvola J., Alm J., Ahlholm U., Nykänen H. & Martikainen P.J. 1996b. The contribution of plant roots to CO₂ fluxes from organic soils. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 23: 126–131.
- SMHI (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute). 2007. Väder och Vatten. En Tidskrift från SMHI – Nr 13 Väderåret 2006. SMHI, Norrköping. [In Swedish].
- SMHI (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute). 2008. Väder och Vatten. En Tidskrift från SMHI – Nr 13 Väderåret 2007. SMHI, Norrköping. [In Swedish].
- Smith K.A., Dobbie K.E., Ball B.C., Bakken L.R., Sitaula B.K., Hansen S., Borken W., Brumme R., Christensen S., Priemé A., Fowler D., MacDonald J.A., Skiba U., Klemedtsson L., Kasimir-Klemedtsson Å., Degórska A. & Orlanski P. 2000. Oxidation of atmospheric methane in N. European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the global terrestrial sink. *Glob. Change Biol.* 6: 791–803.
- Subke J-A., Inglima I. & Cotrufo F. 2006. Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO₂ partitioning: A metaanalytical review. *Glob. Change Biol.* 12: 1–23.
- Trettin C.C., Laiho R., Minkkinen K. & Laine J. 2006. Influence of climate change factors o carbon dynamics in Northern forested peatlands. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 86: 269–280.
- Wall A. & Heiskanen J. 2003. Water-retention characteristics and related physical properties of soil on afforested agricultural land in Finland. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 186: 21–32.
- Weier K.L, Doran J.W., Power J.F., Walters D.T. 1993. Denitrification and the dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 57: 66–72.
- Weslien P., Kasimir Klemedtsson Å., Börjesson G. & Klemedtsson, L. 2009. Strong pH influence on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes from forested organic soils. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 60: 311–320.
- Whalen S.C. 2005. Biogeochemistry of Methane Exchange

between Natural Wetlands and the Atmosphere. *Environ Eng. Sci.* 22: 73–94.

- Widén B. 2001. CO₂ exchange within a Swedish coniferous forest: spatial and temporal variation. Uppsala: Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.
- von Arnold K. 2004. Forests and greenhouse gases. Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from drained forests on organic soil. Linköping Studies in Arts and Science No 302, PhD thesis. Linköping University, Linköping.

von Arnold K., Hånell B., Stendahl J. & Klemedtsson L.

2005a. Greenhouse gas fluxes from drained organic forestland in Sweden. Scand. J. Forest Res. 20: 400-411.

- von Arnold K., Nilsson M., Hånell B., Weslien P. & Klemedtsson L. 2005b. Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from drained organic soils in deciduous forests. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37: 1059–1071.
- von Arnold K., Weslien P., Nilsson M., Svensson B.H. & Klemedtsson L. 2005c. Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from drained coniferous forests on organic soils. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 210: 239–254.

<u>×</u>
σ
Φ
Q
Q
4

Table A1. Annual CO₂ emissions reported from drained forested peatlands in boreal and hemiboreal areas, measured using open or closed chambers. Plots fertilized for experimental purposes are not included.

No	Forest type	Location	Chamber type	Chamber installation depth (m)	Chamber height (m)	C/N or general nutrient status	Groundwater water table (m)	CO₂ flux (kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ a⁻¹)	Method for winter fluxes	Flux type	Authors
-	Pine- dominated	Southern Finland	Open chambers	On top of soil	0.05	22–90	0.24—0.33 (growing season)	8800–14 300	Winter fluxes calculated using March 1992 values	Soil respiration (forest floor vege- tation removed)	Laine <i>et al.</i> 1996
N	Pine, birch and not specified	Southern Finland	Open chambers in summer, closed in	On top of soil	0.05 in summer, 0.2 in winter	Ombro- trophic to meso-	0.14-0.55	5100–22 200	watch 1922 varies Winter fluxes from another, similar, site (used for some sites t	Soil respiration (forest floor vege- tation removed)	Silvola <i>et al.</i> 1996a
ი	Pine- and spruce- dominated	Central · Sweden	winter Open chambers	0.005	0.3 at highest point	High and High and medium product- ivity,C/N 18 for the medium	0.7 and 0.17	27 900 and 65 000	Winter flux partly I modelled using soil temperature	Forest floor flux, transparent chamber	Widén 2001, Morén and Lindroth 2000, von Arnold <i>et al.</i> 2005a, M. Lundblad (pers.
4	Spruce- dominated	Southern Sweden	Closed chambers	Several cm	ca. 0.1– 0.2	site High product-	0.12-0.60	11 000— 19 000	Whole year measured	Forest floor respiration	comm.) von Amold <i>et al.</i> 2005a
2	Birch and	Southern	Closed	0.04-0.1	0.01-0.4	IMIY 16–22	0.15-0.18	15 000	Whole year	Forest floor resniration	von Arnold <i>et al.</i> วกกรุษ
9	Spruce- and pine-	Sweden	Closed chambers	Several cm	ca. 0.1– 0.2	26-40	0.17-0.27	9 400 — 19 000	Whole year I measured	Forest floor respiration	z005a, 2005c
\sim	Birch- dominated	Southern Sweden	Closed chambers	Several cm	ca. 0.1– 0.2	13	0.48-0.7	22 000 – 28 000	Whole year measured	Forest floor respiration	Weslien <i>et al.</i> 2009, von Arnold <i>et al.</i> 2005a

Tab	ole A1. (conti	inued)									
Ñ	Forest type	Location	Chamber type	Chamber installation depth (m)	Chamber height (m)	C/N or general nutrient status	Groundwater water table (m)	CO ₂ flux (kg CO ₂ ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)	Method for winter fluxes	Flux type	Authors
00	Pine- dominated	Estonia and Finland	Closed chambers	0.3 (trenching)	0.15 or 0.17, on top of snow in winter	Ombro- trophic to eutrophic	ca. 0.14 0.9	9100-18 900	Whole year measured	Heterotrophic respiration (trenched plots, litter and forest floor vegetation removed)	Minkkinen <i>et al.</i> 2007
o	Birch, pine alder	Southern and central Finland	Closed chambers	0.3 (trenching)	0.15 on top of snow in winter	13-28	ca. 0.3– 0.8	7600–19 800	Whole year measured	Heterotrophic respiration (trenched plots, litter and vegetation removed) Afforested agri- cultural and cutawav sites	Mäkiranta <i>et al.</i> 2007, 2008
10	Pine- dominated	Southern Sweden	Closed chambers	0-0.05	0.06—0.19	33—36	0.15—0.57 (growing season)	6700–14 800	Whole year measured	Forest floor respiration	Ernfors <i>et al.</i> 2010
÷	Pine- and birch- dominated	Estonia	Closed chambers	Not specified	0.5	Ombro- trophic to eutrophic	0-0.15	1600–12 500	Whole year measured	Forest floor respiration	Salm <i>et al.</i> 2012
12	Pine- dominated	Northern Finland	Closed chambers	0.02, but trenched to 0.3	0.15, on top of snow in winter	Meso- trophic	Not specified	8700	Whole year measured	Heterotrophic respiration (trenched plots, litter and forest floor vegetation removed)	Moilanen <i>et al.</i> 2012

a		nuea)									
No	Forest type	Location	Chamber type	Chamber installation depth (m)	Chamber height (m)	C/N or general nutrient status	Groundwater water table (m)	CO ₂ flux (kg CO ₂ ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)	Method for winter fluxes	Flux type	Authors
13	Spruce- dominated	Southern Finland	Closed chambers	0-0.05 but trenched to 0.6	ca 0.92	24	0.45	47 600	Whole year measured	Heterotrophic respiration (trenched plots, forest floor vegetation removed) Afforested agri-	Meyer <i>et al.</i> 2013
4	Not speci- fied (68 sites used)	Finland	Closed chambers	0.02	0.15	16—45	0.01—0.8 (May to Oct.)	11 650 <i>—</i> 44 400	Winter fluxes modelled based on growing sea- son fluxes and soil temperatures	Forest floor respiration	Ojanen <i>et al.</i> 2010, 2013
15 16	Spruce- dominated Spruce- dominated	Southern Sweden Southern Sweden	Closed chambers Closed chambers	< 0.02 < 0.02	ca 0.10 ca 0.10	23	0.3—0.8 0.25—0.7	17 000- 17 600 13 700- 15 300	Whole year Whole year Snow-season flux estimated by	Forest floor respiration Forest floor respiration	Klemedtsson <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2010 Rütting <i>et al.</i> 2014
17	Pine and birch	Southern Finland	Closed chambers	0.02-0.03	0.15-0.25	34—38	0.42 in summer, ca. 0.05 in winter	20 600 23 100	Whole year measured	Forest floor respiration	Minkkinen <i>et al.</i> 2018, Ojanen <i>et.</i> <i>al.</i> 2012
18	Pine, birch and spruce	Central and northern Finland	Closed chambers	0.02, but trenched to 0.5	0.15	Ombro- trophic to eutrophic	0.58	ca. 5500— 15 500	Snow-season flux modelled using soil temperature	Heterotrophic respiration (trenched plots, forest floor vegetation removed)	Ojanen <i>et al.</i> 2019

Table A1. (continued)